

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

JERMELL GREEN, M43000,

Plaintiff,

vs.

SALVADOR GODINEZ, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 15-cv-00589-SMY-PMF

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

This matter comes before the Court on the Report and Recommendation (“Report”) (Doc. 54) of Magistrate Judge Philip M. Frazier recommending this Court grant Defendants’ Motion for Summary Judgment on the Issue of Exhaustion of Administrative Remedies (Doc. 34). Plaintiff did not object or otherwise respond to the Report.

The Court may accept, reject or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations of the magistrate judge in a report and recommendation. Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(3). The Court must review *de novo* the portions of the report to which objections are made. The Court has discretion to conduct a new hearing and may consider the record before the magistrate judge anew or receive any further evidence deemed necessary. *Id.* “If no objection or only partial objection is made, the district court judge reviews those unobjected portions for clear error.” *Johnson v. Zema Sys. Corp.*, 170 F.3d 734, 739 (7th Cir. 1999).

The Court, having received no objection to the Report and having reviewed Plaintiff’s motions and case history, finds that the Report is not clearly erroneous. Accordingly, the Report of Magistrate Judge Frazier (Doc. 54) is **ADOPTED** and Defendants’ Motion for Summary Judgment on the Issue of Exhaustion of Administrative Remedies (Doc. 34) is **GRANTED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: July 22, 2015

s/ Staci M. Yandle
STACI M. YANDLE
DISTRICT JUDGE